Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,335	SHIMOYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DUC Q. DINH	2629
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
(1) <u>DUC Q. DINH</u> .	(3)	
(2) DANIEL GLUECK.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 April 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	· .
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 2,3,7 AND 17  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Correcting the antecedent's basis for the limitation "real s		T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	•	
•		
		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Representa	ative Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)